S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.4980/2006

Date : 14.11.2006.

HON'BLE MR. SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK, J.

Mr. N.S. Rathore for the applicants.
Mr. V.R. Mehta, Public Prosecutor.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicants as well as the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and carefully gone through the impugned order.

The contention of learned counsel for the applicants is that there is no mention of the name of the applicants in the FIR. He submits that main accused in this case are Ramkaran and Rameshwar who caused injuries to the injured. In the last, he prays that accused applicants may be enlarged on bail.

On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the bail application.

I have considered the submissions made before me and carefully perused the material available on record.

Taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the present case, I think it just and proper to enlarge the accused applicants on bail.

Accordingly, the bail application filed under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C. is allowed and it is directed that applicants (1) Pema Ram S/o Gharsi Ram (2) Dharam Pal S/o Chandu Ram and (3) Bega Ram S/o Sheolal shall be released on bail in FIR No.243/2006 P.S. Rajgarh, District Churu, provided each of them executes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.30,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties in the sum of Rs.15,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial court for their appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

(SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK), J.

vijayant